

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)					
AIDC ID#, 0704947, DATE, 07/22/2011 ADDINE, 10.45 AM DEDAR	Т. 11 22АМ				
AIRS ID#: 0694846 DATE: <u>07/22/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>10.45 AM</u> DEPAR	T: <u>11.22AM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: C&D THIN PAVERS & COPING					
FACILITY LOCATION: 2009 TALLY RD					
LEESBURG 34748-3463					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: AIEX DUSSAULT PHONE: (727)559-6 Email: Mobile:	0116				
CONTACT NAME: FRANK VAN LEER  Email: Mobile: (352)325-3	5200				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/30/2008 / 11/30/2013 (effective date) (end date)					
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING					
Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>AIEX DUSSAULT</u>	(check <b>☑</b> only one box for each question)				
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still KERRY DOWLING?	☐ Yes ⊠No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still FRANK VAN LEER?					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?					

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo#1(cement)w/silotop baghouse,jet-pulse clean subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•
Date of last inspection:  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:         <ol> <li>Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ol></li></ol>	e following:	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
<ul> <li>b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?</li> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: <ul> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	🗌 Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>

# Emissions Unit Section 2 – CCB Plant-silo#2 (cement) w/silotop baghouse, 200 bbl (new) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	
Date of last inspection:  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfemissions by:         <ol> <li>Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ol></li></ol>	e following:	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

~	AND MARKON OF COMPANY PERMANENT LANDY VIEW		1
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared that the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consums for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr nption	∏ No
	Tor each consecutive 12-period for the past 3 years?	- M Tes	∐ N0
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	□ Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		□ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the follow</i> )	(check ☑ box for each wing question 2.)	question)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?( <i>If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.</i> )  a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone		☐ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.90 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?	Yes 00(6)] Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.90 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation.	n? Yes	☐ No
<ul><li>3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:</li><li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated us If YES, what was the purpose?</li><li>b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was</li></ul>	sage)?  Yes	□ No
co-located at the permitted facility?  If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes Yes Yes	☐ No
CHANGES  Administrative Changes:	(check <b>✓</b> box for each	
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representations.	entative not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions	s units or	
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	⊠ No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	⊠ No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?d. A change in ownership?		⊠ No □ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee	_	□ Na
30 days prior to the change?	Yes	∐ No
Sangeeta Sharma 07/22/2011		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next	Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** I inspected this facility on 7/22/2011. I talked to Mr. Alex Dussault. He is the new facility representative. They did not have any VE test at the time of the inspection. They did have VE test early this year and they submitted the reports to the department.